

1
2
3
4
5 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
6 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**
7

8 MICHAEL PATTERSON,

9 Petitioner,

2:15-cv-00362-JCM-VCF

10 vs.

ORDER

11 BRIAN E. WILLIAMS, SR., *et al.*,

12 Respondents.
13 _____/

14
15 In this habeas corpus action, the respondents were to respond to the petition for writ of
16 habeas corpus by July 2, 2015. *See* Order entered May 18, 2015 (ECF No. 2).

17 On June 26, 2015, respondents filed a motion for extension of time (ECF No. 4), requesting a
18 90-day extension of time. Respondents' counsel states that the extension of time is necessary
19 because of the loss of a staff member in her office. The court finds that respondents' motion is made
20 in good faith and not solely for the purpose of delay, and that there is good cause for the extension of
21 time requested. The court will grant the motion for extension of time.

22 However, in light of the length of the extension of time granted here, the court will not look
23 favorably upon any motion to further extend this deadline.

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

James C. Mahan
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE